**Note: Input in TS 3.2.5.1 This is not a Tri kramam; you had asked a query. It was a tri kramam as per Ghana Sandhi. But I had my doubt that as per Siksha both A should be udAttam only. When we listened to TTD Jatai, they are not applying Trikramam. I will remove this from Trikrama list.**

Your output as per initial definition

3.2.5.1(3G): iwhiw | mAw | Ax | viwSaw |

Before sandhi: iwhiw mAw mAw iwhiw iwhiw mAw Ax viwSaw viwSaw Ax mAw iwhiw iwhiw mAw Ax viwSaw

iqhiq mAq meqhIqhiq mA &&vi#Sa viqSA me#hIhiq mA &&vi#Sa | **Correct**

iqhiq mAq meqhIqhiq mA &&vi#Sa viqSA me#hIhiq mA &&vi#Sa ||

3.2.5.1(4J): mAw | Ax | viwSaw |

Before sandhi: mAw Ax viwSaw viwSaw Ax mAw mAw Ax viwSaw

mA &&vi#Sa viqSA mAq mA &&vi#Sa |

mA &&vi#Sa viqSA mAq mA &&vi#Sa | **Correct**

**--------------------------------------------**

Revised output (just for your knowledge and understanding)

3.2.5.1: mAw | Ax | viwSaw

Jatai - mAw | Ax |

Padams - mAw Ax ~~Ax~~ mAw mAw Ax

Jatai vAkyam – mA (&&)mAq mA

See that there is a variation in swaram even if we do not represent &&.

If they were both udAttam the result would have been

mA mA mA which does not indicate any meaning to Student about constituent padams.

Ghanam padams - mAw Ax ~~Ax~~ mAw mAw Ax viwSaw viwSaw Ax mAw mAw Ax viwSaw

Ghana vakayam - mA (&&)mAq mA &&vi#Sa viqSA mAq mA &&vi#sa |  
---------------------------

Similarly another one

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 1.5.1.3 | tvaShTA$ | A | aqdhaqttaq |

Has been not correctly given in Ghana Sandhi and this will also be removed,since ShTA has Swaritam. This got checked during listening to Jatai.We find issues in documented text because they have gone by Vaakyam where the first mA or tvaShTA is shown as udAttam in Vaakyam.

We are facing a few issues which makes reading and comparison interesting.   
We will handle paata bhedams if any and only one pattern of consistent input will be given to you.